## **Tiger Grass Pollen as a Potential Insulation Board Material**

Reynaldo P. Ramos<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Jona Val T. Casidsid<sup>4</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Tiger Grass (*Thysanolaena maxima*) pollen is disregarded as a valuable agricultural waste; thus, this study investigates its potential and beneficial uses as an alternative building insulation material with arrowroot starch as binder. Samples were prepared in varying mix proportions by weight of the tiger grass pollen, water, and arrowroot starch as binding agent. Three different sample mixtures were prepared into particleboards with thickness ranges from 8 mm to 10 mm and air-dried for 10 days. These particle boards were tested for acoustics, thickness swelling, water absorption and thermal conductivity. Based on the tests conducted, mixtures B – 250 grams - tiger grass pollen and 125 grams - arrowroot starch which is equivalent to 50% of the tiger grass pollen weight; and mixture C: 250 grams - tiger grass pollen with 150 grams - arrowroot starch which is equivalent to 60% of the tiger grass pollen weight demonstrated acceptable results having met the allowable limit values or minimum standards set for the properties used.

Keywords: tiger grass pollen, insulation material, particle board, building construction, civil engineering

## INTRODUCTION

Tiger grass (*Thysanolaena maxima*) is one of the most cultivated grasses locally grown in the Philippines and it looks like bamboo and sugarcane. Tiger grass has a variety of uses and it plays a valuable role as the main material for broom production. The bamboo-like stalks make strong handles and the dried flower panicles are tied together to make the broom parts. The fibers (panicles) of this plant are already proved its importance and life span because it is being used in handicraft production that is why this fiber performs certain strength that could resist loads applied into it.

One of the most important challenges of future buildings is the reduction of energy consumptions in all their life phases - from construction to demolition. Through that, building insulations were developed and commonly realized using materials obtained from petrochemicals (mainly polystyrene) or from natural sources processed with high energy consumptions (glass

- <sup>3</sup>International Linkages and External Affairs, Romblon State University, Odiongan, Romblon, Philippines
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Interior and Local Government, Odiongan, Romblon, Philippines

and rock wools). These materials cause significant detrimental effects on the environment mainly due to the production stage like use of non-renewable materials and fossil energy consumption, and to the disposal stage like problems in reusing or recycling the products at the end of their lives.

Due to other problems brought about by climate change, the use of thermal insulation materials sustains the comfortable temperatures in living environments or in building which became rampant in recent years. The use of thermal insulation is regarded as one of the most energy-efficient improvements and means of energy conservation in buildings. As the largest building component, it plays an important role in achieving buildings' energy efficiency. This will result in decreasing the cost of cooling as well as decreasing the pollution of the environment. Talking about energy consumption, both commercial and residential buildings spent almost half of primary energy resources and trend to increase in the future.

Particleboards are relatively new type of engineered wood product that are made from gluing together small chips, sawdust, saw shavings, recycled wood, agricultural residue, etc. Particleboard is a woodbased composite that is used for many applications such as furniture, flooring, panels, and the likes (S. Khosravi 2011, unpublished thesis). Particleboards consist of wood particles glued together at high temperature and pressure. The particles are separated based on size after they have been dried, the sizes of the particles are of great importance and will influence the properties of the final product. Normally, particleboards have three layers namely (a) core layer with coarser particles and a lower density, and (b) two surface layers with finer particles

<sup>🖂</sup> rsu.rpramos2020@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>College of Engineering and Technology, Romblon State University, Odiongan, Romblon, Philippines

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Graduate Education and Professional Studies, Romblon State University, Odiongan, Romblon, Philippines

Received 28 October 2020; Accepted 06 July 2021; Available online 23 July 2021

and higher densities. The Australian Standard (AS/NZS 1859) gives limit values for certain mechanical and physical properties [Engineered Wood Products Association of Australasia (EWPAA), 2008]. Table 1 shows the typical values of these properties (rather than limit values) presented in 3 thickness classes.

Table 1. Property Values for Standard Particleboard (EWPAA, 2008)

Property	Unit	Thickness Class - mm				
Troperty	Omt	≤12	13 - 22	>23		
Density	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	660 - 700	660 - 680	600 - 660		
Bending Strength (MOR)	MPa	18	15	14		
Bending Stiffness (MOE)	MPa	2800	2600	2400		
Internal Bond Strength	MPa	0.6	0.45	0.40		
Surface Soundness	MPa	1.25	1.30	1.30		
Screw Holding - Face	Ν	-	600	700		
Screw Holding - Edge	Ν	-	700	750		
Thickness Swell (24 Hr)	%	15	12	8		
Formaldehyde E1 (Desiccator Method)	mg/l	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0 - 1.5		

Many research studies have experimented various alternative materials from agricultural wastes with emphasis on finding new materials for acoustic component panels and insulation particleboards (Faustino et al., 2012; Paiva et al, 2012 Charoenvai et al., 2013; Asrubali et al, 2015; Tangjuank & Kumfu, 2011; Suleiman et al, 2013). These new alternative sustainable sound insulations building products have been at the center of society's concerns. For example, sound insulation products processed with natural materials such as cotton, cellulose, hemp, wool, clay, jute, sisal, kenaf, feather and coco or processed with recycles materials like wood, canvas, foam, bottle, jeans, rubber, polyester, acrylic, fiberglass, carpet, and cork are some solutions already established for sound insulation. Some other residual wastes such as newspaper, honeycomb, and polymeric waste were also tested to determine their technical potential. Thus, these green products or eco-products intend to be sustainable alternative to the traditional ones like glass or rock wool (Faustino et al., 2012). Particleboards made of agricultural wastes such as bagasse, cereal, straw, corn stalk, corn cobs, cotton stalks, rice husk, straws, sunflower hulls, and leaves oil were also tested for thermalinsulation performance (Paiva et al., 2012). The main goal of using these agricultural wastes, aside from meeting the challenges of disposing such wastes, is to identify energy-saving building materials with low thermal conductivity to reduce heat transfer into the building (Charoenvai, 2013).

In addition, previous studies compared these unconventional and recycled insulation materials based on several properties such as density, thermal conductivity, specific heat, fire classification, and water vapor diffusion (Asrubali et al., 2015). Moreover, other properties such as acoustic absorption, acoustic insulation, including thickness were evaluated. Tests were also carried out to determine the physical properties (moisture content, thickness swelling and water absorption) and fire resistance of these alternative waste materials [(Tangjuank & Kumfu 2011). Previous studies also evaluated not only the composition of the main alternative waste materials but also the type of binding ingredient or adhesive used (Charoenvai et al., 2013; Tangjuank & Kumfu, 2011; Suleiman et al, 2013; Mouburik et al. 2010; Sulaiman et al., 2013; Elbadawi et al., 2015; and Abayomi et al., 2015). The type of bonding materials, particularly biodegradable and environmentally friendly binders are important to produce structurally strong, stable, and durable particleboards.

This study was conducted to be able to produce an economical and profit-oriented product. This study also aimed to produce durable particleboard as insulation materials for structural applications from locally sourced materials by using tiger grass pollen in conjunction with different natural binders. This is in effort to reduce the rate of importation of synthetic fibers and make locally made building materials available at a cheaper rate.

## **METHODOLOGY**

#### Mix Proportion

Table 2 shows the three mix proportions used in the study. Every sample mixture has three samples indicating the amount (in grams) of the Tiger Grass pollen, arrowroot starch as binder, and water as the main ingredients for the mix.

Table 2. Mixing Proportion of the Particleboards
--

	No. of	Amount of	Amountof	Amount
Mixture	Sample	the Tiger	Arrowroot	of Water
	-	Grass	Starch(g)	Used for
		Pollen(g)		Binder
	Sample 1	250	100	1 ½ cup
Mixture A	Sample 2	250	100	1 ½ cup
	Sample 3	250	100	1 ½ cup
2.61	Sample 1	250	125	1 ¾ cup
Mixture B	Sample 2	250	125	1 ¾ cup
	Sample 3	250	125	1 ¾ cup
	Sample 1	250	150	2 cups
Mixture C	Sample 2	250	150	2 cups
	Sample 3	250	150	2 cups

## Testing of Acoustical Properties

The testing chamber was fabricated with the following dimensions:  $0.7m \times 0.6m$  for the base and 1.0m for its height with a volume of  $0.42m^3$  to accord with the specimen area of  $0.09m^2$ . The chamber is an enclosed space made of plywood and studs.

The specimen for each mixture were installed in the three faces of the chamber, three specimens for each face.

#### Determination of Peak Amplitude

Loudspeaker is outside the chamber at fixed point for all types of mixture with varying frequency and intensity of sound having the microphone probe inside the chamber. The microphone probe is connected to a magnetic tape recorder for data storage and future measurement or reference. The software used in determining the peak amplitude was Cool Edit Pro which the data are recorded, analyzed, and summarized.

# Determination of Thickness Swelling, Water Absorption and Thermal Conductivity

The determination of 2-hour water absorption (WA) and thickness swelling (TS) tests were performed according to the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D-1037. After 2 hours, the uncoated/natural and coated samples with paint were taken out from the water and reweighed and remeasured for its thickness. The water absorption of each specimen was calculated by the weight difference. The water absorption and thickness swelling of each specimen were prepared with a surface dimension of 0.15m x 0.15m and calculated using Equations 1 and 2.

**Thickness Swelling**(**TS**) = 
$$\frac{t_f - t_i}{t_i} x 100\%$$
 (1)

Where:  $t_i = initial thickness of the sample$   $t_{r=}$  final thickness of the sample **Thickness Swelling (TS)** is expressed in percentage.

Water Absorption (WA) = 
$$\frac{w_f - w_i}{w_i} \times 100\%$$
 (2)

Where: wi = initial weight (dry) of the sample wr= final weight (wet) of the sample Water Absorption is expressed in percentage

The test for thermal conductivity was done in terms of moisture content (MC) and dry density of the samples. To calculate the thermal conductivity of each sample, the formula derived by Siau (1983) was applied (TenWolde et al., 1988). Thermal conductivity is being computed to determine how much electric current or amount of heat the sample can receive before it yields following Equations 3, 4 and 5:

Get the moisture content of the sample (MC) with a formula of:

$$MC = \frac{Ww - Wd}{Ww} \ge 100\%$$
(3)

Get the dry density of the sample  $(\rho)$  with a formula of:

$$\rho = \frac{w_{dry}}{v} \tag{4}$$

Solve for the thermal conductivity (k) with a formula of:  

$$\mathbf{k} = 0.509547 - 0.471983(a)$$
 (5)

Where:

 $\mathbf{k}$  = thermal conductivity of the sample  $\mathbf{a}$  = Porosity =  $\sqrt{(1 - 0.000667D - 0.00001MD)}$ 

 $\mathbf{M} =$ moisture content of the sample

 $\mathbf{D} = dry density of the sample (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)$ 

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Peak Amplitude Results

Table 3 shows the results of the peak amplitude per mixture. Comparing the result of the three mixtures, Mixture C has the lowest peak amplitude of -15.68 dB which means the intensity of sound being absorbed is low while Mixture A recorded the highest peak amplitude of -14.01 dB which means there is no effect in the intensity of sound being absorbed as it compares to the peak amplitude recorded by the empty room which is -14.08 dB. Mixture B recorded peak amplitude of -15.31 dB.

#### Thickness Swelling

The determination of two-hour thickness swelling (TS) test was performed according to ASTM D-1037. After two hours, the specimens which are uncoated/natural and coated with paint were taken out of the water for the measurement of its thickness. The thickness of each specimen was calculated by the thickness difference. The thickness swelling of each specimen was prepared with a surface dimension of  $0.15m \ge 0.15m$ . Table 4 shows the results for the three mixtures, comparing uncoated or natural and coated with paint before and after soaking.

The thickness of the samples that ranges from 8mm to 10 mm subjected for testing were considered as thin particleboard according to Australian Standard AS/NZS 1859 (EWPAA, 2008). The thickness of the particleboard under thin category ranges from 0 to 12mm thick. Table 4 shows the thickness swelling of the uncoated/natural and coated with paint samples.

Amplitude Value	Empty Room		Mixture C		Mixture B		Mixture A	
Ampitude value	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right
Min Sample Value:	-7513	-6401	-6336	-5389	-5537	-4713	-7332	-6261
Max Sample Value:	7630	6481	6154	5244	6571	5621	7674	6528
Peak Amplitude (dB)	-12.66	-14.08	-14.27	-15.68	-13.96	-15.31	-12.61	-14.01
Minimum RMS Power dB)	-32.79	-34.19	-33.83	-35.2	-34.89	-36.27	-35.03	-36.39
Maximum RMS Power (dB)	-15.74	-17.13	-21.62	-23.01	-21.51	-22.9	-20.51	-21.9
Average RMS Power (dB)	-24.71	-26.1	-29.4	-30.78	-29.92	-31.3	-28.91	-30.29
Total RMS Power (dB)	-24.26	-25.65	-29.12	-30.5	-29.75	-31.13	-28.59	-29.97
Actual Bit Depth (Bit)	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16

Table 3. Peak amplitude values for the different test mixtures.

Table 4. Thickness Swelling (TS) of the Uncoated/Natural and Coated with Paint

	Thi	ckness Swelling ("	<b>ΓS) in Percentage (%)</b>		
		Average			
Mixture	No. of Samples	Uncoated/ Natural	Coated with Paint	Uncoated/ Natural	Coated with Paint
	Sample 1	13	38		
Mixture A	Sample 2	11	33	12	25
	Sample 3	11	0		
	Sample 1	11	11	11	11
Mixture B	Sample 2	11	11	11	11
	Sample 3	11	11		
	Sample 1	0	22	3	17
Mixture C	Sample 2	0	10	5	17
	Sample 3	10	20		

## Table 5. Water Absorption (WA) of the Uncoated/Natural and Coated with Paint

Water Absorption (WA) in Percentage (%)							
		Uncoated/		Average			
Mixture	No. of Samples	Natural	Coated with Paint	Uncoated/ Natural	Coated with Paint		
Mixture A	Sample 1	220	153		148		
	Sample 2	200	122	204			
	Sample 3	191	169				
	Sample 1	100	133	111 1	110		
Mixture B	Sample 2	100	88		119		
	Sample 3	133	135				
Mixture C	Sample 1	146	88	140	107		
	Sample 2	160	144	140	107		
	Sample 3	113	90				

Mixture	No. of Sample	Weight Wet (g)	Weight Dry (g)	Dry Density Kg/m <sup>3</sup>	Moisture Content %	Porosity (a)	Thermal Conductivity W/m-K
	Sample 1	125	50	277.78	60	0.9026	0.078
Mindana A	Sample 2	300	75	370.37	75	0.8351	0.111
Mixture A	Sample 3	137.5	68.75	339.51	50	0.8986	0.080
	Sample 1	187.5	75	370.37	60	0.7285	0.1626
Mixture B	Sample 2	150	75	370.37	50	0.7535	0.1505
михше в	Sample 3	150	75	370.37	50	0.7535	0.1505
	Sample 1	150	81.25	401.23	45.83	0.8884	0.085
Mixture C	Sample 2	137.5	75	333.33	45.45	0.9089	0.075
	Sample 3	162.5	93.75	416.67	42.31	0.8921	0.083

Table 6. Thermal Conductivity of the Samples

The percentage of thickness swelling of the uncoated/natural samples attained a value which ranges from 0% to 13% and did not exceed the maximum percentage of thickness swelling which is 15% according to Australian Standard AS/NZS 1859 (EWPAA, 2008). On the other hand, coated with paint samples revealed that only Mixture B samples acquired a percentage of 11% which did not exceed the standard maximum value of thickness swelling.

#### Water Absorption

The determination of two-hour water absorption (WA) test was performed according to ASTM D-1037. After two hours, the specimens which are uncoated/natural and coated with paint were taken out from the water and reweighed them. The water absorption of each specimen was calculated by the weight difference. The water absorption of each specimen was prepared with a surface dimension of  $0.15 \text{ m} \times 0.15 \text{ m}$ .

The percentages of water absorption of uncoated/natural and coated with paint samples are shown in Table 5. For uncoated/natural sample, Mixture A showed the highest water absorption of 220% and Mixture B revealed the lowest water absorption of 100%. For samples coated with paint, Mixture A still got the highest water absorption of 169% and Mixture C attained the lowest water absorption of 88%. By getting the average percentages of water absorption for uncoated/natural and coated with paint sample, Mixture B showed the lowest value water absorption of 115% and it is considered as good particleboard.

#### Thermal Conductivity

The test was done in terms of moisture content (MC) and dry density of the samples. The surface dimension of the sample used was 0.15m x 0.15m. Thermal conductivity is being computed to determine how much electric current or amount of heat the sample can receive before it yields. As shown in Table 6, the calculated value for Mixture C fairly met the AS/NZC 1859 standards - 0.075 W/m-K (EWPAA, 2008).

## CONCLUSION

This preliminary investigation was conducted to establish the potentials of Tiger Grass pollen as an alternative building insulation material. Based on the findings, Tiger Grass pollen can replace the synthetic fiber in the production of particleboards. With the tests carried out for acoustic properties, thickness swelling, water absorption, and thermal conductivity, Mixtures B and C, having the proportion of 250g of Tiger Grass pollen and 125g of arrowroot starch as binder, and 250g of Tiger Grass pollen and 150g of arrowroot starch, respectively, showed favorable properties compared with standard particleboard. Thus, it proved that this disregarded agricultural waste combined with arrowroot starch has a promising potential as an environmentallyand eco-friendly substitute for thermal insulation product. To improve its durability and resistance to external factors such heat/fire and fungi, it is recommended to conduct more tests to address these issues before a widespread use of Tiger Grass pollen as the primary ingredient in particleboard production.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The methods and data presented were the results of the undergraduate thesis under the supervisions of Engr. Reynaldo P. Ramos, PhD. The thesis entitled "Thermal and Acoustic Properties of Tiger Grass Pollen Insulation Material with ArrowRoot Starch as Binder" was submitted by the following final year civil engineering students, namely: Casidsid, Jona Val T.; Fesarillo, Charmaine F.; Fornea, Donna F.; Gado, Koen Kate S.; Gonzales, Mary Joy R.; Pastor, Sahra Mae F.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

The conduct of the study was done by the final year civil engineering students, headed by Engr. Jona Val T. Casidsid. Engr. Casidsid administered the entire preparation and testing tiger grass pollen insulation material. Dr. Reynaldo P. Ramos, supervised the conduct of the study and he organized the content of the paper and he did the final revision of the technical paper, highlighting the major findings of the study.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Abayomi, O., Temitope, O., Olawale, A. & Oyelayo, O. (2015). Recycling of Rice Husk into a Locally-Made Water-Resistant Particle Board. *International Journal of Novel Research in Engineering and Science*, 2 (1). 21-30.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (2020). Standard Test Methods for Evaluating Properties of Wood-Base Fiber and Particle Panel Materials. https://www.astm.org/Standards/D1037.htm
- Asrubali, F., D'Alessandro, F. & Schiavoni, S. (2015). A review of unconventional sustainable building insulation materials. *Sustainable Materials and Technologies*, 4. 1-17.
- Charoenvai, S. (2013). New insulating material: Binderless particleboard from durian peel in Chang, Al Bahar& Zhao (eds). Advances in Civil Engineering and Building Materials. Taylor & Francis Group, London.
- Elbadawi, M., Osman, Z., Paridah, T., Nasroun. T. & Kantiner, W. (2015). Mechanical and Physical Properties of Particleboards made from Ailanthus wood and UF resin fortified by Acacias Tannins Blend. Journal of Material and Environmental Science, 6 (4). 1016-1021.
- Engineered Wood Products Association of Australasia (2008). Facts about Particleboard and MDF.

Queensland: Australian Wood Panels Association Incorporated.

- Faustino, J., Pereira, L., S. Soares, S., Cruz, D., Paiva, A., Varum, H., Ferreira, J. & J. Pinto (2012). Impact sound insulation technique using corn cob particle board. *Construction and Building Materials*, 32.153-159.
- Mouburik, A., Allal, A., A. Pizzi, A., Charrier, F., & Charrier, B. (2010). Preparation and Mechanical Characterization of Particleboard Made from Maritime Pine and Glued with Bio-Adhesives Based on Cornstarch and Tannins. *Moderas Cencia Y Technologia*, *12*(*3*). 189-192.
- Paiva, A. & S. Pereira, D. Cruz, H. Varum and Pinto, J. (2012). A contribution to the thermal insulation performance characterization of corn cob particleboards. *Energy and Buildings*, 45. 274-279.
- Sulaiman, N., Hasmim, R., Amini, M., Sulaiman, O. & Hiziroghu, S. (2013). Evaluation of the Properties of Particleboard Made Using Oil Palm Starch Modified with Epichlorohydrin, *BioResources*, 8 (1). 283-301.
- Suleiman, L., Aigbodion, V., Shuaibu, L., & M. Shangalo, M. (2013). Development of Eco-friendly Particleboard Composites Using Rice Husk Particles and Gum Arabic. Journal of Materials Science and Engineering with Advanced Technology, 7 (1). 75-91.
- Tangjuank, S. & Kumfu, S. (2011). Particle Boards from Papyrus Fibers as Thermal Insulation. Journal of Applied Sciences, 11 (14). doi: 10.3923/jas.2011.2640.2645.
- TenWolde, A., McNatt, J., & Krahn, L. (1988). *Thermal Properties of Wood and Wood Panel* Products for Use in Buildings. Oak Bridge National Laboratory.